Survival Analysis in R June 2013

David M Diez OpenIntro openintro.org

This document is intended to assist individuals who are

- 1. knowledgable about the basics of survival analysis,
- 2. familiar with vectors, matrices, data frames, lists, plotting, and linear models in R, and
- 3. interested in applying survival analysis in R.

This guide emphasizes the **survival** package¹ in \mathbb{R}^2 . Following very brief introductions to material, functions are introduced to apply the methods. A few short supplemental functions have been written and are available in the **OIsurv** package³, and data sets from the **KMsurv** package⁴ are also used. This guide may be a particularly helpful supplement for Klein and Moeschberger's book, with which **KMsurv** is associated.

Ideally, this survival analysis document would be printed front-to-back and bound like a book. No topics run over two pages. Those that are two pages start on an even page, preventing the need to flip between pages for a single topic. All sample code may be run provided the **OIsurv** package is loaded, which automatically loads the **survival** and **KMsurv** packages. Details about installing and loading the **OIsurv** package are described in the first section, which discusses R packages.

This document is released under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 license. For questions or other inquiries, please contact David Diez. The latest version of this document can always be found at www.openintro.org/stat/surv.php.

Table of Contents

The survival, OIsurv, and KMsurv packages	2
Survival objects	3
Kaplan-Meier estimate & pointwise bounds	4
Simultaneous confidence bands	6
Cumulative hazard function	7
Mean and median estimates with bounds	8
Tests for two or more samples	9
Cox PH models, contant covariates	10
Cox PH models, time-dependent covariates	12
Accelerated failure-time models	14
Acknowledgements, References, & Resources	16

The survival, OIsurv, and KMsurv packages

The survival package¹ is used in each example in this document. Most data sets used are found in the KMsurv package⁴, which includes data sets from Klein and Moeschberger's book⁵. Supplemental functions utilized can be found in $OIsurv^3$. These packages may be installed using the install.packages function:

```
> install.packages("OIsurv")
```

Installing **OIsurv** from CRAN requires a newer version of R, and this installation will automatically include **survival** and **KMsurv**. Loading **OIsurv** in R also loads all three packages:

```
> library(OIsurv) # the survival package depends on the splines package
Loading required package: survival
Loading required package: splines
Loading required package: KMsurv
```

To view available data sets in the **KMsurv** package, use library(help=KMsurv). To load a data set, use the function data():

```
> data(aids)
> aids
    infect induct adult
1    0.00    5.00    1
2    0.25    6.75    1
...
295    7.25    0.25    0
```

The ellipsis (\ldots) denotes output omitted for brevity in this tutorial. Occasionally the ellipsis will itself be omitted.

The attach() function is used to make a data frame's columns available for use as variables.

```
> attach(aids)
> infect
[1] 0.00 0.25 0.75 0.75 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.50
...
[295] 7.25
```

Good programming practices include detaching data sets no longer in use. It is common for data sets to share column (variable) names, so failing to detach a data frame before attaching another may produce incorrect results without any warnings or errors. While attach() and detach() are used in this tutorial to simplify notation, students may employ the \$ operator to access columns within a data frame to avoid this danger altogether:

```
> detach(aids)
> aids$infect
 [1] 0.00 0.25 0.75 0.75 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.50
...
[295] 7.25
```

Survival objects: Surv(time, event), Surv(time, time2, event, type)

Many functions in the **survival** package apply methods to **Surv** objects, which are survival-type objects created using the **Surv()** function. Here we discuss the construction of right-censored **Surv** objects and left-truncated right-censored **Surv** objects. See reference 6 for descriptions of survival data types.

For **right-censored** data, only two arguments are needed in the **Surv()** function: a vector of times and a vector indicating which times are observed and censored.

```
> data(tongue)
> attach(tongue)
                   # the following will not affect computations
The following object(s) are masked from package:stats :
 time
> # create a subset for just the first group by using [type==1]
> my.surv.object <- Surv(time[type==1], delta[type==1])</pre>
> my.surv.object
 [1]
       1
            3
                 3
                       4
                           10
                                13
                                      13
                                           16
                                                16
                                                     24
                                                           26
                                                                27
                                                                     28
                                                                           30
[43] 101+ 104+ 108+ 109+ 120+ 131+ 150+ 231+ 240+ 400+
> detach(tongue)
```

The plus-signs identify those observations that are right-censored. The first argument in Surv() should be input as a vector of observed and right-censored times. An indicator vector is used in the second argument to signify whether the event was observed (1) or not (0). Boolean arguments may be used in place of 1 and 0 in the indicator vector.

We also consider left-truncated right-censored data. The left-truncation times are entered as the first argument, a vector of the event and censored times is input into the second argument, and an indicator vector for right-censoring is input as the third argument.

```
> data(psych); attach(psych)
> my.surv.object <- Surv(age, age+time, death)
> my.surv.object
[1] (51,52 ] (58,59 ] (55,57 ] (28,50 ] (21,51+] (19,47 ] (25,57 ]
...
[22] (29,63+] (35,65+] (32,67 ] (36,76 ] (32,71+]
> detach(psych)
```

The left-truncated right-censored observations are described in the **Surv** help documentation to be of type "counting".

Note. There are many other types of survival objects that can be created, but they are not covered in this tutorial. Additionally, some survival functions in R only accept a few types of survival data.

Kaplan-Meier estimate and pointwise bounds: survfit(formula, conf.int = 0.95, conf.type = "log")

The Kaplan-Meier estimate is a nonparametric maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of the survival function, S(t). This estimate is a step function with jumps at observed event times, t_i . In the mathematics below, it is assumed the t_i are ordered: $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_D$. If the number of individuals with an observed event time t_i is d_i , and the value Y_i represents the number of individuals at risk at time t_i (where at risk means individuals who die at time t_i or later), then the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function and its estimated variance are given by

$$\hat{S}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < t_1 \\ \prod_{t_i \le t} \left[1 - \frac{d_i}{Y_i} \right] & \text{if } t_1 \le t \end{cases}$$
$$\hat{V}[\hat{S}(t)] = \left[\hat{S}(t) \right]^2 \hat{\sigma}_S^2(t) = \left[\hat{S}(t) \right]^2 \sum_{t_i \le t} \frac{d_i}{Y_i(Y_i - d_i)}$$

The pointwise confidence bounds for the "plain" (linear) and "log-log" options provided in R are given by

$$\left(\hat{S} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma}_S(t) \hat{S}(t), \ \hat{S} + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma}_S(t) \hat{S}(t) \right)$$
$$\left(\hat{S}^{1/\theta}(t), \ \hat{S}^{\theta}(t) \right), \text{ where } \theta = \exp\left\{ \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma}_S(t)}{\log \hat{S}(t)} \right\}$$

The Kaplan-Meier estimate is fit in R using the function survfit(). The simplest fit takes as input a formula of a survival object against an intercept:

Survfit() also has a number of optional arguments. For example, the confidence level may be changed using the second argument, conf.int (e.g. conf.int=0.90 for 90% confidence bounds). The conf.type argument describes the type of confidence interval. More specifically, it describes the transformation for constructing the confidence interval. The default is "log", which equates to the transformation function $g(t) = \log(t)$. The "log-log" option uses $g(t) = \log(-\log(t))$. A linear confidence interval is created using the argument conf.type="plain". In the current version of the survival package (version 2.36-10), the arcsine-squareroot transformation must be computed manually using components of the object returned by survfit().

Like many functions in R, the survfit() function returns hidden information that can be accessed with the proper commands. Below we consider several elements of this hidden information, which is stored in a list. For a complete summary of the object, apply the str function to my.fit and to summary(my.fit).

```
> my.fit <- survfit(my.surv)</pre>
> summary(my.fit)$surv
                            # returns the Kaplan-Meier estimate at each t_i
> summary(my.fit)$time
                            # {t_i}
> summary(my.fit)$n.risk
                            # {Y_i}
> summary(my.fit)$n.event
                            # {d_i}
 summary(my.fit)$std.err
                            # standard error of the K-M estimate at {t_i}
>
> summary(my.fit)$lower
                            # lower pointwise estimates (alternatively, $upper)
> str(my.fit)
                            # full summary of the my.fit object
> str(summary(my.fit))
                            # full summary of the my.fit object
```

The object returned by summary(my.fit) is a list. The str function is useful for seeing more details about what is contained in the list, and as shown above, we can access each item in the list using the \$ operator.

The Kaplan-Meier estimate may be plotted using plot(my.fit). Standard arguments in the plot function may be used to improve the graphical aesthetics:

```
> plot(my.fit, main="Kaplan-Meier estimate with 95% confidence bounds",
+ xlab="time", ylab="survival function")
```



Kaplan-Meier estimate with 95% confidence bounds

Figure 1: Sample output where only the title, x-axis and y-axis labels have been specified.

Sometimes different groups are contained in a single Surv object. For instance, the type variable in the tongue data set describes patient DNA profiles. We can obtain the Kaplan-Meier estimate for each of these groups by regressing the Surv object on the type variable:

> my.fit1 <- survfit(Surv(time, delta) ~ type) # here the key is "type"

(It is also reasonable to use several variables on the right side of the equation.) The summary of my.fit1 will contain an additional list item – strata, accessible via summary(my.fit1)\$strata – that designates which components of the output correspond to which groups.

Finally, for good coding practices, we detach the tongue data set.

> detach(tongue)

Simultaneous confidence bands confBands(x, confType="plain", confLevel=0.9, type="ep")

Pointwise confidence intervals, like those introduced in the previous pages, apply to a single point in the time scale. Now we turn our attention to *simultaneous confidence bands* (or *confidence bands* for short), which are valid for the entire range of time values simultaneously. A 95% confidence band, for example, will capture the entire true survival curve about 19 out of 20 times.

While the **survival** package doesn't offer tools for confidence bands, they may be calculated using **confBands** from the **OIsurv** library. The first argument is a survival object for **x**, and the other arguments allow customization. The **confType** may be "plain", "log-log", or "asin-sqrt"; the **confLevel** may be 0.90, 0.95, or 0.99; and the type may be "ep" or "hall" (Hall-Wellner). There are also two optional arguments, tL and tU, that limit the support of the confidence bands. Confidence bands may be added to a plot using the lines function.

```
> data(bmt); attach(bmt)
> my.surv <- Surv(t2[group==1], d3[group==1])
> my.cb <- confBands(my.surv, confLevel=0.95, type="hall")
> plot(survfit(my.surv ~ 1), xlim=c(100, 600), xlab="time",
+ ylab="Estimated Survival Function",
+ main="Reproducing Confidence Bands for Example 4.2 in Klein/Moeschberger")
```



Reproducing Confidence Bands for Example 4.2 in Klein/Moeschberger

Figure 2: Confidence intervals and bands. Note that the default for the pointwise confidence bands is a log transformation, which results in asymmetric pointwise confidence intervals.

```
> lines(my.cb$time, my.cb$lower, lty=3, type="s")
> lines(my.cb$time, my.cb$upper, lty=3, type="s")
> legend(100, 0.3, legend=c("K-M survival estimate",
+ "pointwise intervals","confidence bands"), lty=1:3)
> detach(bmt)
```

Cumulative hazard function

The cumulative hazard function and the survival function are related in the following way for continuous data:

$$S(t) = \exp\left\{-H(t)\right\}$$

The MLE of the hazard function may be obtained by the inverse transformation of the Kaplan-Meier estimate: $\hat{H}(t) = -\log \hat{S}(t)$. Another method to estimate H(t) is the Nelson-Aalen estimator:

$$\tilde{H}(t) = \sum_{t_i \leq t} \frac{d_i}{Y_i} \qquad \qquad \sigma_H^2(t) = \sum_{t_i \leq t} \frac{d_i}{Y_i^2}$$

While no function in the **survival** package calculates either form automatically, the object returned by summary(survfit()) can be used to calculate the estimates:

```
> data(tongue); attach(tongue)
> my.surv <- Surv(time[type==1], delta[type==1])</pre>
> my.fit <- summary(survfit(my.surv ~ 1))</pre>
> H.hat
          <- -log(my.fit$surv)
> H.hat
          <- c(H.hat, tail(H.hat, 1))
```

0

50

A summary plot or table may be created using H.hat with my.fit\$time. The Nelson-Aalen estimator may also be constructed:

```
> h.sort.of <- my.fit$n.event / my.fit$n.risk</pre>
            <- cumsum(h.sort.of)
> H.tilde
> H.tilde
            <- c(H.tilde, tail(H.tilde, 1))
> plot(c(my.fit$time, 250), H.hat, xlab="time", ylab="cumulative hazard",
    main="comparing cumulative hazards", ylim=range(c(H.hat, H.tilde)), type="s")
> points(c(my.fit$time, 250), H.tilde, lty=2, type="s")
> legend("topleft", legend=c("H.hat","H.tilde"), lty=1:2)
> detach(tongue)
```



100

comparing cumulative hazards

Figure 3: Cumulative hazard estimates.

time

150

200

250

Mean and median estimates with bounds

The median survival time is the time $t_{0.5}$ such that $S(t_{0.5}) = 0.5$. This is visualized by graphing the estimated survival function and drawing a horizontal line at 0.5. The estimated median equals the time $\hat{t}_{0.5}$ where the function and line intersect. The confidence interval for $t_{0.5}$ is given by the points at which this horizontal line crosses over the pointwise confidence intervals of $\hat{S}(t)$.

The mean survival time and its respective estimate are given by

$$\mu = \int_0^\infty S(t) dt, \qquad \hat{\mu} = \int_0^\infty \hat{S}(t) dt$$

If S(t) (or $\hat{S}(t)$) does not converge to zero, the integral diverges. This property creates a challenge for most data, and one resolution is to use a finite value τ as the bound for the integral, where τ may represent the maximum survival time considered to be possible. Another reasonable choice for τ is the maximum observed or censored time. Using a finite τ results in a new statistic and corresponding estimate: $\mu_{\tau} = \int_{0}^{\tau} S(t) dt$. Letting t_i , Y_i , d_i , and D be as described in the Kaplan-Meier section, the estimated variance of $\hat{\mu}_{\tau}$ is

$$\widehat{V}(\widehat{\mu}_{\tau}) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \left[\int_{t_i}^{\tau} \widehat{S}(t) dt \right]^2 \frac{d_i}{Y_i(Y_i - d_i)}$$

The median and its 95% confidence interval may be estimated using survfit():

```
> data(drug6mp); attach(drug6mp)
> my.surv <- Surv(t1, rep(1, 21))
                                     # all placebo patients observed
> survfit(my.surv ~ 1)
Call: survfit(formula = my.surv ~ 1)
                                  median 0.95LCL 0.95UCL
records
          n.max n.start
                          events
     21
             21
                      21
                              21
                                        8
                                                4
                                                       12
```

Using survfit() in conjunction with print(), the mean survival time and its standard error may be obtained:

```
> print(survfit(my.surv ~ 1), print.rmean=TRUE)
Call: survfit(formula = my.surv ~ 1)
   records
                          n.start
                                                           *se(rmean)
                                                                          median
                n.max
                                       events
                                                   *rmean
     21.00
                21.00
                            21.00
                                        21.00
                                                     8.67
                                                                1.38
                                                                            8.00
   0.95LCL
              0.95UCL
      4.00
                12.00
    * restricted mean with upper limit = 23
> detach(drug6mp)
```

The print.rmean=TRUE argument is used to obtain the mean and its standard error, and τ is automatically set as the largest observed or censored time. Alternatively, τ may be specified using the rmean argument.

Tests for two or more samples survdiff(formula, rho=0)

Given two or more samples, is there a statistical difference between the survival times? We can formulate the hypotheses in the context of hazard functions as

- $H_0: h_1(t) = h_2(t) = \dots = h_n(t)$ for all t, and
- $H_A: h_i(t_0) \neq h_j(t_0)$ for at least one pair i, j and time t_0 .

Let

- t_i be times where events are observed (assume these are ordered and there are D such times),
- d_{ik} be the number of observed events from group k at time t_i ,
- Y_{ik} be the number of subjects in group k that are at risk at time t_i (see K-M section),
- $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}$,
- $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{ij}$, and
- $W(t_i)$ be the weight of the observations at time t_i .

Then to test the hypothesis above, a vector Z is computed, where the k^{th} element is

$$Z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{D} W(t_i) \left[d_{ik} - Y_{ik} \frac{d_i}{Y_i} \right]$$

The covariance matrix $\hat{\Sigma}$ is also computed from the data (see reference 5). Under the null hypothesis, the test statistic $X^2 = Z' \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} Z$ follows a χ^2 distribution with *n* degrees of freedom. That is, if $X^2 > \chi^2_{1-\alpha,df=n}$, the data provide strong evidence against the null hypothesis and we reject H_0 .

The function survdiff() is used for this hypothesis test. The first argument is a survival object against a categorical covariate variable that is typically a variable designating which groups correspond to which survival times. The object returned by survdiff() provides useful information. (Surprisingly, the summary of this object is not particularly useful.)

```
> data(btrial); attach(btrial)  # time variable warning omitted
> survdiff(Surv(time, death) ~ im)  # output omitted
```

The second survdiff() argument, rho, designates the weights according to $\hat{S}(t)^{\rho}$ and may be any numeric value. The default is rho=0 and corresponds to the log-rank test. The **Peto & Peto modification of the Gehan-Wilcoxon test** is computed using rho=1:

```
> survdiff(Surv(time, death) ~ im, rho=1) # some output omitted
...
Chisq= 4.4 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.037
```

> detach(btrial)

To give greater weight to the first part of the survival curves, use **rho** larger than 0. To give weight to the later part of the survival curves, use **rho** smaller than 0. The output of **survdiff()** is generally self-explanatory. A χ^2 statistic is computed along with a p-value.

Cox proportional hazards model, constant covariates coxph(formula, method)

The Cox proportional hazards (Cox PH) model fits survival data with covariates z to a hazard function of the form

$$h(t|z) = h_0(t) \exp\left\{\beta' z\right\}$$

where β is an unknown vector and $h_0(t)$ is the *baseline hazard*, which is nonparametric. Primary interest lies in estimating the parameter β using the partial likelihood:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\exp\left[\beta' z_{(i)}\right]}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp\left\{\beta' z_j\right\}} \quad \text{where } R(t_i) \text{ is the "risk set" at time } t_i.$$

The MLE $\hat{\beta}$ (a vector) is asymptotically $N(\beta, I^{-1})$, where I represents the Fisher information. This normal approximation makes doing local tests possible. A **local test** examines a subset of the elements of β , testing the claim $C\beta = d$, where C is a $q \times p$ matrix of full rank and d is a vector of length q. For example, we could set up a very simple test for $\beta_1 = 0$ by choosing $C_{1\times p} = (1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$ and $d_{1\times 1} = 0$. For a general C and d, the test statistic is

$$X_W^2 = \left(C\hat{\beta} - d\right)' \left[C\hat{I}^{-1}C'\right]^{-1} \left(C\hat{\beta} - d\right),$$

which under the null hypothesis follows χ_q^2 . This is known as the Wald test.

Beyond obtaining test p-values, there may be interest in the survival function for particular covariates. If the estimate of the baseline survival function $\hat{S}_0(t)$ is provided, then the estimate of the survival function for an individual with covariates z_k may be obtained via

$$\hat{S}(t|z_k) = \left[\hat{S}_0(t)\right]^{\exp\left(\hat{\beta}' z_k\right)}$$

The function coxph() fits a Cox PH model to the supplied data. The first argument is a formula, where the response is a survival object.

```
> data(burn); attach(burn)
          <- Surv(T1, D1)
> my.surv
> coxph.fit <- coxph(my.surv ~ Z1 + as.factor(Z11), method="breslow")</pre>
> coxph.fit
Call:
coxph(formula = my.surv ~ Z1 + as.factor(Z11), method = "breslow")
                  coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                               z
                                                      р
Z1
                 0.497
                            1.644
                                     0.208 2.38 0.017
                                     0.498 -1.76 0.078
as.factor(Z11)2 -0.877
                            0.416
as.factor(Z11)3 -1.650
                                     0.802 -2.06 0.040
                            0.192
as.factor(Z11)4 -0.407
                            0.666
                                     0.395 -1.03 0.300
```

Likelihood ratio test=14.6 on 4 df, p=0.00569 n= 154, number of events= 99

Two covariates have been used in this example. The second argument listed, method, specifies how ties are handled. The default is "efron", and the other options are "breslow" and "exact". Much useful information is obtained in the summary of coxph(), including

- estimates of the β_k , including standard errors and p-values for each test $H_0: \beta_k = 0$,
- an estimate of the risk ratio and its confidence interval, and
- p-values for likelihood ratio, Wald, and score tests for the global null, $H_0: \beta_i = 0$ for all *i*.

More complex hypotheses may be checked using other items from the model fit:

```
> co <- coxph.fit$coefficients # may use coxph.fit$coeff instead
> va <- coxph.fit$var # I^(-1), estimated cov matrix of the estimates
> ll <- coxph.fit$loglik # log-likelihood for alt and null MLEs, resp.</pre>
```

To obtain the baseline survival function from a Cox PH model, apply survfit() to coxph():

```
> my.survfit.object <- survfit(coxph.fit)</pre>
```

The object returned by **survfit()** has the familiar characteristics and properties as before. For instance, the baseline survival function may be plotted using the **plot()** function.

Here we will run one local test. Above, Z11 was a factor variable represented as the second, third, and fourth coefficients of coxph.fit. We first construct C and d, then we compute the test statistic X_W^2 . In the code below, the objects t1 and t2 were computed as intermediate steps for obtaining the test statistic.

```
> C <- matrix(c(0, 1, 0, 0,
+ 0, 0, 1, 0,
+ 0, 0, 0, 1), nrow=3, byrow=TRUE)
> d <- rep(0, 3)
> t1 <- C %*% co - d
> t2 <- C %*% va %*% t(C)
> XW2 <- c(t(t1) %*% solve(t2) %*% t1)
> pchisq(XW2, 3, lower.tail=FALSE)
[1] 0.1025018
> detach(burn)
```

The concatenation function c() used in the computation of XW2 makes XW2 a vector rather than a 1×1 matrix. The p-value of the test is 0.103, meaning Z11 is not statistically significant for a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

For a more thorough discussion of Cox PH models in R, please see reference 7.

Cox proportional hazards model, time-dependent covariates

Using time-dependent covariates in R is an exercise in organization. Previously considered covariates were unchanging attributes, such as treatment group or control group or a patient's race. Now we consider time-dependent covariates, such as interventions or environmental factors that might result in a change mid-study.

To use time-dependent covariates in R, we apply left-truncation liberally. For example, if there is an intervention for patient *i*, then we split patient *i* into two separate observations: pre and post-intervention. More explicitly, suppose the patient intervention took place at time $t_i = 45$ and the event for patient *i* was observed at $t_{event} = 58$. We would split this patient's record into two pieces: 0 to 45 and 45 to 58. The covariate for intervention can be assigned different values for each interval. Applying this time-splitting method in R requires care. Start and end times for each interval are constructed, and right-censoring must be tracked for each pair of start/end times.

We consider the following example (simulated data). Patient records from an alcohol abuse clinic were obtained for 150 days after the patients became sober. The event of interest was alcohol-relapse, and four variables were available. The event variable describes the observed or censored relapse time; the delta variable describes whether the event was observed (TRUE) or censored (FALSE); gender is a time-independent covariate; and int is a time-dependent covariate indicating whether the patient had an intervention, where some patients relapsed before their scheduled interventions and so have NA listed. All interventions occurred *after* the patients became sober (day 0). That is, the intervention covariate changed for each patient over time, so long as they didn't relapse first. These data are saved as relapse in the OIsurv package.

>	data(relapse)				
>	relapse				
	time	event	gender	int	
1	150	FALSE	0	84	
2	53	TRUE	1	50	
3	12	TRUE	1	NA	
4	150	FALSE	0	89	
5	150	FALSE	1	77	
•					
29	99 10	TRUE	0	NA	
30	0 94	TRUE	1	4	
30	0 94	TRUE	1	4	

These data can be modeled using two steps:

- 1. Construct survival records that may include left and right-censored observations. The survival record of each patient with an intervention is broken into two survival records: one before the intervention and one after. This step is largely book-keeping and programming in R.
- 2. The new survival records can be run through the coxph() function.

We first initialize new vectors to represent variables of the survival records. The variables t1 and t2 represent start and end times, respectively, d represents whether relapse was observed (TRUE) or right-censored (FALSE), g represents gender, and i represents whether the patient was undergoing the intervention treatment (we must be mindful not to overwrite this variable in a loop).

```
> N <- dim(relapse)[1]
> t1 <- rep(0, N+sum(!is.na(relapse$int))) # initialize start time at 0
> t2 <- rep(-1, length(t1)) # build vector for end times
> d <- rep(-1, length(t1)) # whether event was censored
> g <- rep(-1, length(t1)) # gender covariate
> i <- rep(FALSE, length(t1)) # initialize intervention at FALSE</pre>
```

Next, each patient is checked for whether they had an intervention. If they did not, then their record is simply copied to the new variables used. If there was an intervention, their observations are split into two pieces: the period prior to intervention and the period after intervention. The period after intervention is left-truncated for the time of intervention.

```
> j <- 1
> for(ii in 1:dim(relapse)[1]){
    if(is.na(relapse$int[ii])){
                                       # no intervention, copy survival record
+
+
      t2[j] <- relapse$event[ii]</pre>
      d[j] <- relapse$delta[ii]</pre>
+
+
      g[j] <- relapse$gender[ii]</pre>
      j <- j+1
+
    } else {
                                       # intervention, split records
+
+
      g[j+0:1] <- relapse$gender[ii] # gender is same for each time
+
      d[i]
               <- 0
                                       # no relapse observed pre-intervention
+
      d[j+1]
               <- relapse$delta[ii] # relapse occur post-intervention?
+
      i[j+1]
               <- TRUE
                                       # intervention covariate, post-intervention
               <- relapse$int[ii]-1 # end of pre-intervention
+
      t2[j]
+
      t1[j+1] <- relapse$int[ii]-1 # start of post-intervention</pre>
      t2[j+1] <- relapse$event[ii] # end of post-intervention</pre>
+
                                       # two records added
+
      j <- j+2
    }
+
+ }
```

The meanings of the variables are as follows: t1 represents the start of each interval; t2 the end of each interval; e indicates if the event was observed; g is the gender covariate; and i is the indicator variable for whether this interval is associated with a patient post-intervention.

While many patients had time-varying covariates (namely, the intervention), each of the new intervals has covariates that do not change, which allows for the survival object and Cox PH model to be constructed:

```
> mySurv <- Surv(t1, t2, d)  # pg 3 discusses left-trunc. right-cens. data
> myCPH <- coxph(mySurv ~ g + i)</pre>
```

This example was a simple case; there was a single time-dependent covariate, and it changed at most once per case. In some instances, there may be many time-varying covariates, even some that change every time unit. In most of these instances, the same method may be used. Whenever a covariate changes from one time unit to the next, split the interval into two and use left-truncation and right-censoring as needed.

For additional reading on time-dependent covariates in R, see pages 7-11 of reference 7.

Accelerated failure-time models survreg(formula, dist='weibull')

An accelerated failure-time (AFT) model is a parametric model with covariates and failure times following the survival function of the form $S(x|Z) = S_0 (x * \exp [\theta'Z])$, where S_0 is a function for the baseline survival rate. The term $\exp [\theta'Z]$ is called the **acceleration factor**. The AFT model uses covariates to place individuals on different time scales – note the scaling by the covariates in S(t|Z) via $\exp [\theta'Z]$. The AFT model can be rewritten in a log-linear form, where the log of failure time (call this log X) is linearly related to the mean μ , the acceleration factor, and an error term σW :

$$\log X = \mu - \theta' Z + \sigma W$$

W describes the error distribution. The following models for W are discussed in reference 5:

Distribution	df	Included in survival ?
exponential	1	yes
Weibull	2	yes
lognormal	2	yes
log logistic	2	yes
generalized gamma	3	no

The survreg() function from the survival package is used for AFT modeling. The first argument is formula, where a survival object is regressed on predictors. The argument dist has several options to describe the parametric model used ("weibull", "exponential", "gaussian", "logistic", "lognormal", or "loglogistic"). The code below follows Example 12.2 from reference 5 and uses a Weibull model:

```
> data(larynx)
> attach(larynx)
> srFit <- survreg(Surv(time, delta) ~ as.factor(stage) + age, dist="weibull")
> summary(srFit)
. . .
                    Value Std. Error
                                           z
                                                    р
(Intercept)
                   3.5288
                              0.9041 3.903 9.50e-05
as.factor(stage)2 -0.1477
                              0.4076 -0.362 7.17e-01
as.factor(stage)3 -0.5866
                              0.3199 -1.833 6.68e-02
as.factor(stage)4 -1.5441
                              0.3633 -4.251 2.13e-05
age
                  -0.0175
                              0.0128 -1.367 1.72e-01
Log(scale)
                  -0.1223
                              0.1225 -0.999 3.18e-01
Scale= 0.885
Weibull distribution
Loglik(model) = -141.4 Loglik(intercept only) = -151.1
Chisq= 19.37 on 4 degrees of freedom, p= 0.00066
Number of Newton-Raphson Iterations: 5
n= 90
```

In the output, (Intercept) and Log(scale) correspond to estimates of μ and log σ . The other estimates correspond to covariate coefficients. We might also consider an exponential model:

```
> srFitExp <- survreg(Surv(time, delta) ~ as.factor(stage) + age, dist="exponential")</pre>
> summary(srFitExp)
. . .
                    Value Std. Error
                                          z
                                                   р
(Intercept)
                   3.7550
                             0.9902 3.792 1.49e-04
as.factor(stage)2 -0.1456
                              0.4602 -0.316 7.52e-01
as.factor(stage)3 -0.6483 0.3552 -1.825 6.80e-02
as.factor(stage)4 -1.6350
                              0.3985 -4.103 4.08e-05
                  -0.0197
                              0.0142 -1.388 1.65e-01
age
Scale fixed at 1
Exponential distribution
Loglik(model) = -141.9
                      Loglik(intercept only) = -151.1
Chisq= 18.44 on 4 degrees of freedom, p= 0.001
Number of Newton-Raphson Iterations: 4
n= 90
```

When $\sigma = 1$, the Weibull model is equivalent to the exponential model. We consider two strategies for choosing the final model:

- a likelihood ratio test, which evaluates the null hypothesis $\sigma = 1$ against the two-sided alternative, and
- examination of the significance of the Log(scale) coefficient (see the output to summary(srFit)).

In the example, both approaches result in the same conclusion: there is insufficient evidence to reject the hypothesis that $\sigma = 1$ (H_0). For this reason, we would likely go with the simpler exponential model.

Interested users may explore the many stored components in a survreg() object:

Finally, we should not forget to detach the data set.

```
> detach(larynx)
```

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Beau Benjamin Bruce, who has kindly provided a function useful for Cox PH models with time-dependent covariates to be hosted at OpenIntro (www.openintro.org/stat/surv.php). This new function greatly improves on a function used in the first version of this guide.

Thank you also to Christopher Barr for his helpful comments on the revisions for this guide.

References

¹ Terry Therneau and original Splus- >R port by Thomas Lumley (2011). survival: Survival analysis, including penalised likelihood. R package version 2.36-10. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival.

² R Development Core Team (2010). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org.

³ David M Diez (2012). OIsurv: Supplement to survival analysis tutorial. R package version 1.0. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=OIsurv

⁴ Original by Klein, Moeschberger and modifications by Jun Yan (2010). KMsurv: Data sets from Klein and Moeschberger (1997), Survival Analysis. R package version 0.1-4. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=KMsurv.

⁵ Klein, John P., and Melvin L. Moeschberger. Survival Analysis: Techniques for Censored and Truncated Data. New York: Springer, 2003.

⁶ ReliaSoft Corporation website (2006). Data Classification. http://www.weibull.com/LifeDataWeb/data_classification.htm.

 7 Fox, John 2002. Cox Proportional-Hazards Regression for Survival Data. Appendix to An R and S-PLUS Companion to Applied Regression.

Additional resources

⁸ Lumley, Thomas, 2007. The Survival Package (R help guide).